

Intersection theory of coassociative submanifolds in G_2 -manifolds and Seiberg-Witten invariants

Naichung Conan Leung and Xiaowei Wang

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We study the problem of counting instantons with coassociative boundary condition in (almost) G_2 -manifolds. This is analog to the open Gromov-Witten theory for counting holomorphic curves with Lagrangian boundary condition in Calabi-Yau manifolds. We explain its relationship with the Seiberg-Witten invariants for coassociative submanifolds.

Intersection theory of Lagrangian submanifolds is an essential part of the symplectic geometry. By counting the number of holomorphic disks bounding intersecting Lagrangian submanifolds, Floer and others defined the celebrated Floer homology theory. It plays an important role in mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau manifolds and string theory in physics. In M-theory, Calabi-Yau threefolds are replaced by seven dimensional G_2 -manifolds M (i.e. oriented Octonion manifolds [18]). The analog of holomorphic disks (resp. Lagrangian submanifolds) are instantons or associative submanifolds (resp. coassociative submanifolds or branes) in M [17]. An important project is to count the number of instantons with coassociative boundary conditions. In particular we want to study the following problem.

Problem: Given two nearby coassociative submanifolds C and C' in a (almost) G_2 -manifold M . Relate the number of instantons in M bounding $C \cup C'$ to the Seiberg-Witten invariants of C .

The basic reason is a coassociative submanifold C' which is infinitesimally close to C corresponds to a symplectic form on C which degenerates along $C \cap C'$. Instantons bounding $C \cup C'$ would become holomorphic curves on C modulo bubbling. By the work of Taubes, we expect that the number of such instantons is given by the Seiberg-Witten invariant of C .

In this paper we treat the special case when C and C' are disjoint, i.e. C is a symplectic four manifold. Recall that Taubes showed that the Seiberg-Witten invariants of such a C is given by the Gromov-Witten invariants [23] of C . Our main result is following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Suppose that M is an (almost) G_2 -manifold and $\{C_t\}$ is an one parameter family of coassociative submanifolds in M such that $dC_t/dt|_{t=0}$ is nonvanishing.*

If $\{A_t\}$ is any one parameter family of instantons in M satisfying

$$\partial A_t \subset C_t \cup C_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} A_t = \Sigma \text{ in } C^1\text{-topology,}$$

then Σ is a J -holomorphic curve in C_0 .

Conversely, suppose that Σ is a regular J -holomorphic curve in C_0 , then it is the limit of a family of instantons A_t 's as described above.

A few remarks are in order: First, counting such small instantons is basically a problem in four manifold theory because of Bryant's result [4] which says that the zero section C in $\Lambda_+^2(C)$ is always a coassociative submanifold for some incomplete G_2 -metric on its neighborhood provided that the bundle $\Lambda_+^2(C)$ is topologically trivial. Second, when C and C' are not disjoint, the above theorem should still hold true. However using the present approach to prove it would require a good understanding of the Seiberg-Witten theory on any four manifold with a degenerated symplectic form as in Taubes program. Third, when C and C' are not close to each other then we have to take into account the bubbling phenomenon which has not been established yet. Nevertheless, one would expect that if the volume of A_t 's are small, then bubbling cannot occur, thus they would converge to a holomorphic curve in C_0 .

1 Review of Symplectic Geometry

Given any symplectic manifold (X, ω) of dimension $2n$, there exists a compatible metric g so that the equation

$$\omega(u, v) = g(Ju, v)$$

defines a Hermitian almost complex structure

$$J : T_X \rightarrow T_X,$$

that is $J^2 = -id$ and $g(Ju, Jv) = g(u, v)$.

A *holomorphic curve*, or *instanton*, is a two dimensional submanifold Σ in X whose tangent bundle is preserved by J . Equivalently Σ is calibrated by ω , i.e. $\omega|_\Sigma = \text{vol}_\Sigma$. By *counting* the number of instantons in X , one can define a highly nontrivial invariant for the symplectic structure on X , called the Gromov-Witten invariant.

When the instanton Σ has nontrivial boundary, then the corresponding free boundary value problem would require $\partial\Sigma$ to lie on a *Lagrangian submanifold* L in X , i.e. $\dim L = n$ and $\omega|_L = 0$. Floer studied the intersection theory of Lagrangian submanifolds and defined the Floer homology group $HF(L, L')$ under certain assumptions.

Suppose that X is a Calabi-Yau manifold, i.e. the holonomy group of the Levi-Civita connection is inside $SU(n)$, equivalently J is an integrable complex structure on X and there exists a holomorphic volume form $\Omega_X \in \Omega^{n,0}(X)$ on X satisfying $\Omega_X \bar{\Omega}_X = C_n \omega^n$. Under the mirror symmetry transformation, $HF(L, L')$ is expected to correspond to the Dolbeault cohomology group of coherent sheaves in the mirror Calabi-Yau manifold.

A Lagrangian submanifold L in X is called a *special Lagrangian submanifold* with phase zero (resp. $\pi/2$) if $\text{Im} \Omega_X|_L = 0$ (resp. $\text{Re} \Omega_X|_L = 0$). Such a L is calibrated by $\text{Re} \Omega_X|_L$ (resp. $\text{Im} \Omega_X|_L$). They play important roles in the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow mirror conjecture for Calabi-Yau manifolds [22].

When X is a Calabi-Yau threefold, there are conjectures of Vafa and others (e.g. [2][9]) that relates the (partially defined) open Gromov-Witten invariant of the number of instantons with Lagrangian boundary condition to the large N Chern-Simons invariants of knots in three manifolds.

2 Counting Instantons in (almost) G_2 -manifolds

Notice that a real linear homomorphism $J : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ being a Hermitian complex structure on \mathbb{R}^m is equivalent to the following conditions: for any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we have (i) Jv is perpendicular to both v and (ii) $|Jv| = |v|$. We can generalize J to involve more than one vector. We call a skew symmetric homomorphism

$$\times : \mathbb{R}^m \otimes \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

a (2-fold) *vector cross product* if it satisfies

- (i) $(u \times v)$ is perpendicular to both u and v , and
- (ii) $|u \times v| = \text{Area of parallelogram spanned by } u \text{ and } v$.

The obvious example of this is the standard vector product on \mathbb{R}^3 . By identifying \mathbb{R}^3 with $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}$, the imaginary part of the quaternion numbers, we have

$$u \times v = \text{Im } u\bar{v}.$$

The same formula defines a vector cross product on $\mathbb{R}^7 = \text{Im } \mathbb{O}$, the imaginary part of the octonion numbers. Brown and Gray [10] showed that these two are the only possible vector cross product structures on \mathbb{R}^m up to isomorphisms.

Suppose that M is a seven dimensional Riemannian manifold with a vector cross product \times on each of its tangent spaces. The analog of the symplectic form is a degree three differential form Ω on M defined as follow:

$$\Omega(u, v, w) = g(u \times v, w).$$

Definition 2 Suppose that (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension seven with a vector cross product \times on its tangent bundle. Then (1) M is called an *almost G_2 -manifold* if $d\Omega = 0$ and (2) M is called a *G_2 -manifold* if $\nabla\Omega = 0$.

It can be proven that the condition $\nabla\Omega = 0$ is equivalent to Ω being a harmonic form, i.e. $\Delta\Omega = 0$. Furthermore M is a G_2 -manifold if and only if its holonomy group is inside the exceptional Lie group $G_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$. The geometry of G_2 -manifolds can be interpreted as the symplectic geometry on its knot space (see e.g. [17], [21]).

For example, if (X, ω_X) is a Calabi-Yau threefold with a holomorphic volume form Ω_X , then the product manifold $M = X \times S^1$ is a G_2 -manifold with

$$\Omega = \text{Re } \Omega_X + \omega_X \wedge d\theta.$$

Next we define the analogs of holomorphic curves and Lagrangian submanifolds in the G_2 setting.

Definition 3 *Suppose that A is a three dimensional submanifold of an almost G_2 -manifold M . We call A an **instanton** or **associative submanifold**, if A is preserved by the vector cross product \times .*

Harvey and Lawson [11] showed that $A \subset M$ is an instanton if and only if A is calibrated by Ω , i.e. $\Omega|_A = \text{vol}_A$.

In M-theory, associative submanifolds are also called *M2-branes*. For example when $M = X \times S^1$ with X a Calabi-Yau threefold, $\Sigma \times S^1$ (resp. $L \times \{p\}$) is an instanton in M if and only if Σ (resp. L) is a holomorphic curve (resp. special Lagrangian submanifold with zero phase) in X .

A natural interesting question is to count the number of instantons in M . In the special case of $M = X \times S^1$, these numbers are reduced to the conjectural invariants proposed by Joyce [15] by counting special Lagrangian submanifolds in Calabi-Yau threefolds. This problem has been discussed by many physicists. For example Harvey and Moore discussed in [12] the mirror symmetry aspects of these invariants; Aganagic and Vafa in [2] related these invariants to the open Gromov-Witten invariants for local Calabi-Yau threefolds; Beasley and Witten argued in [3] that when there is a moduli of instantons, then one should count them using the Euler characteristic of the moduli space. In this paper we count the number of instantons with boundary lying on a coassociative submanifold in M . The compactness issues of the moduli of instantons is a very challenging problem because the dimension of an instanton is bigger than two. This makes it very difficult to define an honest invariant by counting instantons.

When an instanton A has a nontrivial boundary, $\partial A \neq \emptyset$, one should require it to lie inside a *brane* or a *coassociative submanifold* [17], i.e. submanifolds in M where the restriction of Ω is zero and have the largest possible dimension. Branes are the analog of Lagrangian submanifolds in symplectic geometry.

Definition 4 *Suppose that C is a four dimensional submanifold of an almost G_2 -manifold M . We call C a **coassociative submanifold** if*

$$\Omega|_C = 0 \text{ and } \dim C = 4.$$

For example when $M = X \times S^1$ with X a Calabi-Yau threefold, $H \times S^1$ (resp. $C \times \{p\}$) is a coassociative submanifold in M if and only if H (resp. C) is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase $\pi/2$ (resp. complex surface) in X . In [17] J.H. Lee and the first author showed that the isotropic knot space $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{S^1} X$ of X admits a natural holomorphic symplectic structure. Moreover $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{S^1} H$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{S^1} C$) is a complex Lagrangian submanifold in $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{S^1} X$ with respect to the complex structure J (resp. K).

Constructing special Lagrangian submanifolds with zero phase in X with boundaries lying on H (resp. C) corresponds to the Dirichlet (resp. Neumann) free boundary value problem for minimizing volume among Lagrangian submanifolds as studied by Schoen and others. For a general G_2 -manifold M , the natural free boundary value for an instanton is a coassociative submanifold. Similar to the intersection theory of Lagrangian submanifolds in symplectic manifolds. We propose to study the following problem: Count the number of instantons in G_2 -manifolds bounding two coassociative submanifolds.

The product of a coassociative submanifold with a two dimensional plane inside the eleven dimension spacetime $M \times \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ is called a *D5-brane* in M-theory. Counting the number of M2-branes between two D5-branes has also been studied in the physics literatures.

In general this is a very difficult problem. For instance, counting S^1 -invariant instantons in $M = X \times S^1$ is the open Gromov-Witten invariants. However when the two coassociative submanifolds C and C' are close to each other, we can relate the number of instantons between them to the Seiberg-Witten invariant of C .

3 Relationships to Seiberg-Witten invariants

To determine the number of instantons between nearby coassociative submanifolds, we first recall the deformation theory of coassociative submanifolds C inside any G_2 -manifold M , as developed by McLean [20]. Given any normal vector $v \in N_{C/M}$, the interior product $\iota_v \Omega$ is naturally a self-dual two form on C because of $\Omega|_C = 0$. This gives a natural identification,

$$N_{C/M} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda_+^2(C)$$

$$v \rightarrow \eta_0 = \iota_v \Omega.$$

Furthermore infinitesimal deformations of coassociative submanifolds are parametrized by self-dual harmonic two forms $\eta_0 \in H_+^2(C)$, and they are always unobstructed. Notice that the zero set of η_0 is the intersection of C with a infinitesimally near coassociative submanifold, that is

$$\{\eta_0 = 0\} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (C \cap C_t),$$

where $C = C_0$ and $\eta_0 = dC_t/dt|_{t=0}$.

Since

$$\eta_0 \wedge \eta_0 = \eta_0 \wedge * \eta_0 = |\eta_0|^2 * 1,$$

η_0 defines a natural symplectic structure on $C^{reg} := C \setminus \{\eta_0 = 0\}$. If we normalize η_0 ,

$$\eta = \eta_0 / |\eta_0|,$$

then the equation

$$\eta(u, v) = g(Ju, v)$$

defines a Hermitian almost complex structure on C^{reg} .

The next lemma says that when two coassociative submanifolds C and C' come together, then the limit of instantons bounding them will be a holomorphic curve Σ in C^{reg} with boundary $C \cap C'$.

Proposition 5 *Suppose that C_t is an one parameter family of coassociative submanifolds in a G_2 -manifold M . Suppose that A_t is a family of instantons in M bounding $C_0 \cup C_t$ for nonzero t and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} A_t = \Sigma$$

exists in C^1 -topology. Then Σ is a J -holomorphic curve in C_0^{reg} with boundary $C_0 \setminus C_0^{reg}$.

Proof. For simplicity we assume that $\eta_0 = dC_t/dt|_{t=0}$ is nowhere vanishing. Let us denote the boundary component of A_t in C_0 as Σ_t and the unit normal vector field for Σ_t in A_t as n_t . Note that n_t is perpendicular to C_0 . This is because A_t being preserved by the vector cross product implies that

$$n_t = u \times v,$$

for some tangent vectors u and v in Σ_t , therefore given any tangent vector w along C_0 , we have

$$g(n_t, w) = g(u \times v, w) = \Omega(u, v, w) = 0.$$

The last equality follows from C_0 being coassociative and $\Sigma_t \subset C_0$. Using this and the fact that A_t bounds $C_0 \cup C_t$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} C_t = C_0$, i.e. n_t is *pointing towards* C_t , we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} n_t = \eta|_{\Sigma}.$$

Therefore $\Sigma = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Sigma_t$ is a holomorphic curve in C_0 with respect to the almost complex structure J defined by $\eta(u, v) = g(Ju, v)$. ■

The reverse of the above proposition should also hold true. The Lagrangian analog of it is proven by Fukaya and Oh in [6]. On the other hand, by the celebrated work of Taubes, we expect that the number of such open holomorphic curves in C_0 equals to the Seiberg-Witten invariant of C_0 . We conjecture the following statement.

Conjecture: Suppose that C and C' are nearby coassociative submanifolds in a G_2 -manifold M . Then the number of instantons in M with small volume and with boundary lying on $C \cup C'$ is given by the Seiberg-Witten invariants of C .

In the next section we will discuss the case when C and C' do not intersect. The basic ideas are (i) the limit of such instantons is a holomorphic curve with respect to the (degenerated) symplectic form η on C coming from its deformations as coassociative submanifolds and this process can be reversed; (ii) the number of holomorphic curves in the four manifold C should be related to the Seiberg-Witten invariant of C by the work of Taubes ([24], [25]).

Suppose that η is a self-dual two form on C with constant length $\sqrt{2}$, in particular it is a (non-degenerate) symplectic form, and Σ is a holomorphic curve in C , possibly disconnected. If Σ is *regular* in the sense that the linearized operator $\bar{\partial}$ has trivial cokernel [23], then Taubes showed that the perturbed Seiberg-Witten equations,

$$\begin{aligned} F_a^+ &= \tau(\psi \otimes \psi^*) - r\sqrt{-1}\eta, \\ D_{A(a)}\psi &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

have solutions for all sufficient large r . Here a is a connection on the complex line bundle E over C whose first Chern class equals the Poincaré dual of Σ , $PD[\Sigma]$, ψ is a section of the twisted spinor bundle $S_+ = E \oplus (K^{-1} \otimes E)$ and $D_{A(a)}$ is the twisted Dirac operator. The number of such solutions is the Seiberg-Witten invariant $SW_C(\Sigma)$ of C . Furthermore the converse is also true, thus Taubes established an equivalence between Seiberg-Witten theory and Gromov-Witten theory for symplectic four manifolds. This result has far reaching applications in four dimensional symplectic geometry.

For a general four manifold C with nonzero $b^+(C)$, using a generic metric, any self-dual two form η on C defines a degenerate symplectic form on C , i.e. η is a symplectic form on the complement of $\{\eta = 0\}$, which is a finite union of circles (see [8][14]). Therefore, one might expect to have a relationship between the Seiberg-Witten of C and the number of holomorphic curves with boundaries $\{\eta = 0\}$ in C . Part of this Taubes' program has been verified in [24], [25].

4 Proof of the main theorem

Suppose that η is a nowhere vanishing self-dual harmonic two form on a coassociative submanifold C in a G_2 -manifold M . For any holomorphic curve Σ in C , we want to construct an instanton in M bounding C and C' , where C' is a small deformation of the coassociative submanifold C along the normal direction η . Notice that C and C' do not intersect. We will construct such an instanton using a perturbation argument which requires a lower bound on the first eigenvalue for the appropriate elliptic operator. Recall that the deformation of an instanton is governed by a twisted Dirac operator. We will reinterpret it as a complexified version of the Cauchy-Riemann operator.

4.1 Deformation of instantons

To construct an instanton A in M from a holomorphic curve Σ in C , we need to perturb an almost instanton A' to a honest one using a quantitative version of the implicit function theorem. Let us first recall the deformation theory of instantons A ([11] and [17]) in a Riemannian manifold M with a parallel (or closed) r -fold vector cross product

$$\times : \Lambda^r T_M \rightarrow T_M.$$

In our situation, we have $r = 2$. By taking the wedge product with T_M we obtain a homomorphism τ ,

$$\tau : \Lambda^{r+1} T_M \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T_M \cong \Lambda^2 T_M^*,$$

where the last isomorphism is induced from the Riemannian metric. As a matter of fact, the image of τ lies inside the subbundle \mathbf{g}_M^\perp which is the orthogonal complement of $\mathbf{g}_M \subset \mathfrak{so}(T_M) \cong \Lambda^2 T_M^*$, the bundle infinitesimal isometries of T_M preserving \times . That is,

$$\tau \in \Omega^{r+1}(M, \mathbf{g}_M^\perp).$$

Lemma 6 ([11], [17]) *An $r+1$ dimensional submanifold $A \subset M$ is an instanton, i.e. preserved by \times , if and only if*

$$\tau|_A = 0 \in \Omega^{r+1}(A, \mathbf{g}_M^\perp).$$

This lemma is important in describing deformations of an instanton. Namely it shows that the normal bundle to an instanton A is a twisted spinor bundle over A and infinitesimal deformations of A are parametrized by twisted harmonic spinors.

In our present situation, M is a G_2 -manifold. Using the interior product with Ω , we can identify \mathbf{g}_M^\perp with the tangent bundle T_M and we can also characterize $\tau \in \Omega^3(M, T_M)$ by the following formula,

$$(*\Omega)(u, v, w, z) = g(\tau(u, v, w), z).$$

Therefore $A \subset M$ is an instanton if and only if $*(\tau|_A) = 0 \in T_M|_A$. As a matter of fact, if A is already close to be an instanton, then we only need the normal components of $*(\tau|_A)$ to vanish.

Proposition 7 *There is a positive constant δ such that for any three dimensional linear subspace A in $M \cong \text{Im } \mathbb{O}$ with $|\tau|_A| < \delta$, A is an instanton if and only if $*(\tau|_A) \in T_A$.*

Proof. McLean [20] observed that if A_t is a family of linear subspaces in $M \cong \mathbb{R}^7$ with A_0 an instanton, then

$$*\left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\Big|_{A_t}\right)\Big|_{t=0} \in N_{A_0/M} \subset T_M|_{A_0}.$$

Explicitly, if we denote the standard base for \mathbb{R}^7 as e_i 's, e.g. $e_1 \times e_2 = e_3$, then we can assume that A is spanned by e_1, e_2 and $\tilde{e}_3 = e_3 + \sum_{i=4}^7 t_i e_i$ for some small t_i 's because the natural action of G_2 on the Grassmannian $Gr(2, 7)$ is transitive. Then an easy computation shows that the normal component of $*(\tau|_A)$ in $N_{A/M}$ is given by

$$*(\tau|_A)^\perp = -t_5 (e_4)^\perp + t_4 (e_5)^\perp + t_7 (e_6)^\perp - t_6 (e_7)^\perp.$$

When t_j 's are all zero, we have $(e_j)^\perp = e_j$ for $4 \leq j \leq 7$. In particular, they are linearly independent when t_j 's are small. In that case, $*(\tau|_A)^\perp = 0$ will actually imply that $t_j = 0$ for all j , i.e. A is an instanton in M . Hence the proposition. ■

This proposition will be needed later when we perturb an almost instanton to an honest one. We also need to identify the normal bundle $N_{A/M}$ to an instanton A with a twisted spinor bundle over A as follow [20]: We denote P the $SO(4)$ -frame bundle of $N_{A/M}$. Using the identification

$$SO(4) = Sp(1)Sp(1) \rightarrow SO(\mathbb{H}),$$

$$(p, q) \cdot y = py\bar{q},$$

the tangent bundle to A can be identified as an associated bundle to P for the representation $SO(4) \rightarrow SO(\text{Im } H)$, $(p, q) \cdot y = qy\bar{q}$. As a result the spinor bundle \mathbb{S} of A is associated to the representation $SO(4) \rightarrow SO(\mathbb{H})$ given by $(p, q) \cdot y = y\bar{q}$. Hence we obtain

$$N_{A/M} \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} E,$$

where E is the associated bundle to P for the representation $SO(4) \rightarrow SO(\mathbb{H})$ given by $(p, q) \cdot y = py$.

4.2 Complexified Cauchy-Riemann equation

Recall that the normal bundle to any instanton A is a twisted spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}} E$, or simply \mathbb{S} , over A . Let \mathcal{D} be the Dirac operator on A . If $V := V^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega^a}$ is a normal vector field to A and we write the covariant differentiation of V as $\nabla(V) := V_i^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega^a} \otimes \omega^i$, then by viewing V as a twisted spinor or a quaternion valued function on A ,

$$V = V^4 + \mathbf{i}V^5 + \mathbf{j}V^6 + \mathbf{k}V^7,$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}V = & - (V_1^5 + V_2^6 + V_3^7) + \mathbf{i} (V_1^4 + V_3^6 - V_2^7) \\ & + \mathbf{j} (V_2^4 - V_3^5 + V_1^7) + \mathbf{k} (V_3^4 + V_2^5 - V_1^6), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D} := \nabla_1 \mathbf{i} + \nabla_2 \mathbf{j} + \nabla_3 \mathbf{k}$.

Let us first consider a simplified model, suppose that A is a product Riemannian three manifold $[0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma$ with coordinates (x_1, z) where $z = x_2 + ix_3$. Let e_1 be the unit tangent vector field on A normal to Σ , namely along the x_1 -direction. We have

$$\mathcal{D} = e_1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \bar{\partial},$$

where $\bar{\partial}$ is the Dolbeault operator on the Riemann surface Σ .

The Clifford multiplication of e_1 on \mathbb{S} satisfies $e_1^2 = -1$ and therefore we have an eigenspace decomposition $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\pm i$.

If we write $V = (u, v)$ with $u = V^4 + \mathbf{i}V^5 \in \mathbb{S}^+$ and $v = V^6 + \mathbf{i}V^7 \in \mathbb{S}^-$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}V &= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \mathbf{i} - \partial_z v \right) + \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \mathbf{i} + \bar{\partial}_z u \right) \cdot \mathbf{j} \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} + \mathbf{i} \partial_z v \right) + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} + \mathbf{i} \bar{\partial}_z u \right) \cdot \mathbf{j} \right) \cdot \mathbf{i} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{i} \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i} \bar{\partial}_z \\ \mathbf{i} \bar{\partial}_z & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{\partial}_z := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \mathbf{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \text{ and } \partial_z := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \mathbf{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

We will also denote $\mathbf{i} \partial_z$ and $\mathbf{i} \bar{\partial}_z$ by ∂^+ and ∂^- respectively. They are Dirac operators on Σ and they satisfy

$$\partial^+ = (\partial^-)^*.$$

This implies that the Dirac equation $\mathcal{D}V = 0$ is equivalent to the following complexified Cauchy-Riemann equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_z u &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \mathbf{i}, \\ \partial_z v &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \mathbf{i}. \end{aligned}$$

4.3 Eigenvalue estimates

In this subsection we give a quantitative estimate of the eigenvalue of the linearized operator for the above simplified model. To do so, we first introduce the following function spaces for spinors $V = (u, v)$ over a product three manifold $A_\varepsilon = [0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma$.

Definition 8

Suppose that \mathbb{S} is the spinor bundle over a product three manifold $A_\varepsilon = [0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma$.
We define function spaces

$$H_-^m(A_\varepsilon) := \{V \in H^m(A_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}) \mid v|_{\{0\} \times \Sigma} = v|_{\{\varepsilon\} \times \Sigma} = 0\}$$

and

$$H_+^m(A_\varepsilon) := \{V \in H^m(A_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}) \mid u|_{\{0\} \times \Sigma} = u|_{\{\varepsilon\} \times \Sigma} = 0\}$$

where $H^m(A, \mathbb{S})$ is m -th Sobolev space of sections of \mathbb{S} .

It is well known (see for instance [5] Theorem 21.5) that the Dirac operators

$$\mathcal{D}_\pm := \mathcal{D}|_{H_\pm^1} : H_\pm^1(A_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S}) \rightarrow L^2(A_\varepsilon, \mathbb{S})$$

give well-defined local elliptic boundary problems and the formal adjoint $\mathcal{D}_+^* = \mathcal{D}_-$. We are going to obtain an estimate for its first eigenvalue.

Theorem 9 Suppose λ_{∂^+} is the first eigenvalue of $\Delta_\Sigma = \partial^- \partial^+$ in the space $H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{S}^+)$ and let

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} := \inf_{V \in H_-^1} \frac{\int_X \|\mathcal{D}V\|^2}{\int_X \|V\|^2}.$$

Then

$$\lambda_{\partial^+} \geq \lambda_{\mathcal{D}} \geq \min \{\lambda_{\partial^+}, 2/\varepsilon^2\}.$$

In particular, we have $\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} = \lambda_{\partial^+}$ for small ε .

Proof. For $\forall V = (u, v) \in H_-^1(A_\varepsilon)$ we have

$$\langle \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}V \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{[0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma} \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1} \right|^2 + 2 \left\langle \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \partial^+ \\ \partial^- & 0 \end{bmatrix} V \right\rangle + \|\partial^- v\|^2 + \|\partial^+ u\|^2.$$

Using the formula $\partial^- = (\partial^+)^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma} \left\langle \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \partial^+ \\ \partial^- & 0 \end{bmatrix} V \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{[0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma} \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \partial^+ v \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}, \partial^- u \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{[0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma} \left\langle \partial^- \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right), v \right\rangle - \left\langle v, \partial^- \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right) \right\rangle + \int_{\{\varepsilon\} \times \Sigma} \langle v, \partial^- u \rangle - \int_{\{0\} \times \Sigma} \langle v, \partial^- u \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $\int_A |V_{x_1}|^2$, we notice that, for any fixed point $p \in \Sigma$, $v|_{[0,\varepsilon] \times \{p\}}$ can be treated as a function over the interval $[0, \varepsilon]$ and we compute,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\varepsilon v^2 dx_1 &= \int_0^\varepsilon \left(\int_0^{x_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}(t) dt \right)^2 dx_1 \\ &\leq \int_0^\varepsilon \left(\int_0^{x_1} ds \right) \left(\int_0^{x_1} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}(t) \right|^2 dt \right) dx_1 \\ &\leq \int_0^\varepsilon x_1 dx_1 \int_0^\varepsilon \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}(t) \right|^2 dt \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_0^\varepsilon \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}(t) \right|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

This is basically an effective Poincaré inequality. By putting all these together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}V \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \int_{[0,\varepsilon] \times \Sigma} \left(\|u_{x_1}\|^2 + \|v_{x_1}\|^2 + \|\partial^- v\|^2 + \|\partial^+ u\|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \int_0^\varepsilon \int_\Sigma \|\partial^+ u\|^2 + \int_\Sigma \int_0^\varepsilon \|v_{x_1}\|^2 \\ &\geq \lambda_{\partial^+} \int_0^\varepsilon \int_\Sigma \|u\|^2 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_\Sigma \int_0^\varepsilon \|v\|^2 \\ &\geq \min \{ \lambda_{\partial^+}, 2/\varepsilon^2 \} \left(\int_0^\varepsilon \int_\Sigma \|u\|^2 + \int_\Sigma \int_0^\varepsilon \|v\|^2 \right) \\ &= \min \{ \lambda_{\partial^+}, 2/\varepsilon^2 \} \|V\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{D}} \geq \min \{ \lambda_{\partial^+}, 2/\varepsilon^2 \}.$$

Conversely, we suppose u is the first eigenfunction of $\Delta_\Sigma = \partial^- \partial^+$, we extend u trivially to a function on $[0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma$ and define $V := (u, 0) \in H_-^1$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\partial^+} \|V\|_{L^2}^2 &= \lambda_{\partial^+} \int_0^\varepsilon \int_\Sigma \|u\|^2 \\ &= \int_0^\varepsilon \int_\Sigma \|\partial^+ u\|^2 \\ &= \langle \mathcal{D}V, \mathcal{D}V \rangle_{L^2} \\ &\geq \lambda_{\mathcal{D}} \|V\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these, we have the theorem. \blacksquare

In order to adapt the simplified model above to our situation, let us consider \mathcal{D}_g be the Dirac operator on a Riemannian Spin manifold (A, g) with metric g . If

we change the metric conformally $g \rightarrow hg$ by any positive function $h \in C^\infty(A)$ then we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{hg} = h^{-\frac{n+1}{4}} \circ \mathcal{D}_g \circ h^{\frac{n-1}{4}},$$

where n is the dimension of A . If we compare the Rayleigh quotient we find

$$\frac{1}{C} \inf_{V \in \mathbb{S}} \frac{\int_X \|\mathcal{D}_{hg} V\|_{hg}^2}{\int_X \|V\|_{hg}} \leq \inf_{V \in \mathbb{S}} \frac{\int_X \|\mathcal{D}_g V\|_g^2}{\int_X \|V\|_g} \leq C \inf_{V \in \mathbb{S}} \frac{\int_X \|\mathcal{D}_{hg} V\|_{hg}^2}{\int_X \|V\|_{hg}},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on $0 < \min_{x \in A} h(x) \leq \max_{x \in A} h(x) < \infty$. In particular, this implies

$$\frac{1}{C} \lambda_{\mathcal{D}_g} \leq \lambda_{\mathcal{D}_{hg}} \leq C \lambda_{\mathcal{D}_g}.$$

Now we can extend the above Theorem to any product three manifold $A_\varepsilon = [0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma$ with a *warped* product metric

$$g_{A_\varepsilon} = h(x) dx_1^2 + g_\Sigma.$$

This is because g_{A_ε} is conformally equivalent to a product metric $dx_1^2 + h^{-1}g_\Sigma$ with conformal factor $h(x)$. Therefore we have the following corollary.

Corollary 10 *Suppose $A_\varepsilon = [0, \varepsilon] \times \Sigma$ is equipped with a Riemannian metric of the form $g_{A_\varepsilon} = h(x) dx_1^2 + g_\Sigma$ for some smooth positive function h on Σ . Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{C} \lambda_{\partial^+} \leq \lambda_{\mathcal{D}} \leq C \lambda_{\partial^+}$$

with some constant C depend on h .

In particular we have the following corollary.

Corollary 11 *Assumptions as before, we have $\ker \partial^+ = 0$ if and only if $\ker \mathcal{D} = 0$.*

Remark: The above theorem gives an effective lower bound of the first eigenvalue of $\mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D}$. If we only need to obtain the corollary we can also achieve this by exploring the complexified version of the Cauchy-Riemann equations as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \mathbf{i} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\bar{\partial}_z u) \\ &= \bar{\partial}_z \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u \right) = \bar{\partial}_z (-i \partial_z v) \\ &= -\mathbf{i} \Delta v, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \mathbf{i} \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\partial_z v) \\ &= \partial_z \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} v \right) = \partial_z (-\mathbf{i} \bar{\partial}_z u) \\ &= -\mathbf{i} \Delta u. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \Delta^\Sigma\right)u = 0; \quad \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \Delta^\Sigma\right)v = 0.$$

We perform the Fourier transformation on Σ , and we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - |\xi|^2\right)\hat{v} = 0$$

subjected to the boundary conditions, $\hat{v}|_{x_1=0} = \hat{v}|_{x_1=\varepsilon} = 0$. Clearly this implies $v = 0$ and therefore

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} = \bar{\partial}u = 0.$$

That is $u \in \ker \bar{\partial}_z$.

4.4 Perturbation arguments

Let $C \subset M$ be a coassociative submanifold. Suppose that v is a normal vector field on C such that its corresponding self-dual two form, $\eta_0 = \iota_v \Omega \in \Lambda_+^2(C)$ is harmonic with respect to the induced metric. So η_0 is actually a symplectic form on the complement of the zero set $Z(\eta_0)$ of η_0 in C . Furthermore v defines an almost complex structure J_v on the $C \setminus Z(\eta_0)$ via $|v|^{-1}v \times \cdot$. Since the deformation of coassociative manifold is unobstructed, we may assume that there is an one parameter family of coassociative submanifolds C_t in M which corresponds to integrating out the normal vector field v , that is

$$\left.\frac{dC_t}{dt}\right|_{t=0} = v \in \Gamma(C_0, N_{C_0/M}).$$

In this article we assume that η_0 is nowhere vanishing on C , that is (C, η_0) is a symplectic four manifold. We are going to establish a relation between the non-vanishing of Seiberg-Witten invariants of C and the existence of instantons with coassociative boundary conditions.

Given any submanifold Σ in C , using the variation normal vector fields dC_t/dt to identify various C_t 's, we obtain submanifolds Σ_t in C_t vary smoothly with respect to t . We denote

$$A'_\varepsilon := \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon} \Sigma_t$$

Since η is nonvanishing on C , all coassociative submanifolds C_t 's are mutually disjoint. In particular A'_ε is a smooth three dimensional submanifold in M . Suppose that $\Sigma \subset C$ is a J_v -holomorphic curve, then the tangent spaces of A'_ε is associative along Σ . In fact A'_ε is close to be an instanton when ε is small, more precisely we have the following:

- (i) $|\tau|_{A'_\varepsilon} \leq c_1 \varepsilon$, for some constant c_1 .

(ii) The natural diffeomorphism between A'_ε and $\Sigma \times [0, \varepsilon]$ is a ε -isometry between the induced metric $g_{A'_\varepsilon}$ on A'_ε and the *warped* product metric

$$g_\Sigma + h(x) dt^2$$

on $\Sigma \times [0, \varepsilon]$, where g_Σ is the induced metric on Σ and $h(x)$ is the length of $v = dC_t/dt|_{t=0}$ restricted to Σ .

(iii) The derivative $F'(0)$ of the functional

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F : \Gamma(A_\varepsilon, N_{A_\varepsilon/M}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(A_\varepsilon, N_{A_\varepsilon/M}) \\ V & \longrightarrow & *(\exp_V^* \tau)^\perp \end{array}$$

is ε -close to the twisted Dirac operator on A_ε with respect to the above warped product metric. That is,

$$F'(0) : H^1(N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}) \rightarrow L^2(N_{A'_\varepsilon/M}) \text{ and } |F'(0) - \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma \times [0, \varepsilon]}|_{C^0} \leq c_2 \varepsilon,$$

for some constant c_2 . Note that we need to use the orthogonal projection to identify the normal bundle to A'_ε to the twisted spinor bundle of $\Sigma \times [0, \varepsilon]$.

These imply that $|\lambda(F'(0)) - \lambda(\mathcal{D})| \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\mathcal{D})$ for $\varepsilon < \delta$ where δ is some small positive number depend on the geometry of C_0 . Recall from proposition 7 that $F(V) = 0$ implies that the image of the exponential map $A = \exp_V(A_\varepsilon)$ is an instanton in M . To find the zeros of F , we are going to apply the following quantitative version of the implicit function theorem.

Theorem 12 *Let X and Y be Banach space and $F : B_r(x_0) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ a C^1 -map, such that*

1. $(DF(x_0))^{-1}$ is a bounded linear operator with $\left| (DF(x_0))^{-1} F(x_0) \right| \leq \alpha$ and $\left| (DF(x_0))^{-1} \right| \leq \beta$;
2. $|DF(x_1) - DF(x_2)| \leq k|x_1 - x_2|$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in B_r(x_0)$;
3. $2k\alpha\beta < 1$ and $2\alpha < r$.

Then F has a unique zero z in $B_r(x_0)$.

By combining with the eigenvalue estimates in section 4.3, we can obtain the following result on the existence of instantons.

Theorem 13 *Suppose that M is a G_2 -manifold and C_t is an one parameter family of coassociative submanifolds in M with $(dC_t/dt)|_{t=0}$ nonvanishing.*

For any regular J -holomorphic curve Σ in C_0 , there is an instanton A_ε in M which is diffeomorphic to $[0, 1] \times \Sigma$ and $\partial A_\varepsilon \subset C_0 \cup C_\varepsilon$, for all sufficiently small positive ε .

Proof. Consider the functional $F_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow Y$ with $X = H^1(N_{A'_\varepsilon/M})$, $Y = L^2(N_{A'_\varepsilon/M})$ and $F_\varepsilon(V) = *(\exp_V^* \tau)^\perp$. In order to apply the above implicit function theorem, we need to know that

$$\left| (DF_\varepsilon(0))^{-1} F_\varepsilon(0) \right| \leq \alpha.$$

From Theorem 9 and its Corollary 10 we know that for ε small we have

$$\left| (DF_\varepsilon(0))^{-1} \right| \leq C \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda_{\bar{\partial}}}}$$

Also by our construction we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} F_\varepsilon(0) = 0$$

so for ε small, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| (DF_\varepsilon(0))^{-1} F_\varepsilon(0) \right| = 0.$$

By applying the above implicit function theorem and proposition 7 we obtain an one parameter family of instantons A_ε for ε small, and $\partial A_\varepsilon \subset C_0 \cup C_\varepsilon$. ■

In particular, by combining Taubes' results with the above theorem we obtain the following existence result.

Corollary 14 *Suppose that C is a coassociative submanifold in a G_2 -manifold M with non-trivial Seiberg-Witten invariants. Given any symplectic form on C , we write C_t 's the corresponding coassociative deformations of C in M . Then there is an instanton A_t in M with boundaries lying on $C_0 \cup C_t$ for each sufficiently small t .*

Lastly we expect that any instanton A in M bounding $C_0 \cup C_t$ and with small volume must arise in the above manner. Namely we need to prove a ε -regularity result for instantons.

Acknowledgments: The first author is partially supported by NSF/DMS-0103355 and he expresses his gratitude to J.H. Lee, Y.G. Oh, C. Taubes, R. Thomas and A. Voronov for useful discussions. The second author thanks S.L. Kong, G. Liu, Y.J. Lee for useful discussions.

References

- [1] B. S. Acharya, B. Spence, *Supersymmetry and M theory on 7-manifolds*, [hep-th/0007213].

- [2] M. Aganagic, C. Vafa, *Mirror Symmetry and a G_2 Flop*, [hep-th/0105225].
- [3] C. Beasley, E. Witten, *A Note on Fluxes and Superpotentials in M-theory Compactifications on Manifolds of G_2 Holonomy*, [hep-th/0203061].
- [4] R. Bryant, *Calibrated embeddings in the special Lagrangian and coassociative cases*. Special issue in memory of Alfred Gray (1939–1998). Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 18 (2000), no. 3-4, 405–435.
- [5] B. Booss-Bavnbek and K. Wojciechowski, *Elliptic Boundary Problems For Dirac Operators*, Birkhäuser (1993).
- [6] K. Fukaya, Y.G. Oh, *Zero-loop open strings in the cotangent bundle and Morse homotopy*. Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), no. 1, 96–180.
- [7] K. Fukaya, Y.G. Oh, H. Ohta, K. Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory - anomaly and obstruction*, International Press.
- [8] D. Gay, R. Kirby, *Constructing symplectic forms on 4-manifolds which vanish on circles*, [math.GT/0401186].
- [9] R. Gopakumar, C. Vafa, *M-theory and topological strings - II*, [hep-th/9812127].
- [10] A. Gray, *Vector cross products on manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (1969) 465–504.
- [11] R. Harvey, B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. 148 (1982), 47–157.
- [12] H.A. Harvey, G. Moore, *Superpotentials and membrane instantons*, [hep-th/9907026].
- [13] N. Hitchin, *The geometry of three forms in 6 and 7 dimensions*, J. Differential Geom. 55 (2000), no. 3, 547–576.
- [14] K. Honda, *An openness theorem for harmonic 2-forms on 4-manifolds*. Illinois J. Math. 44 (2000), no. 3, 479–495.
- [15] D. Joyce, *On counting special Lagrangian homology 3-spheres*, Topology and geometry: commemorating SISTAG, 125–151, Contemp. Math., 314, A.M.S., 2002.
- [16] J.H. Lee, N.C. Leung, *Geometric structures on G_2 and $Spin(7)$ -manifolds*, preprint 2001.
- [17] J.H. Lee, N.C. Leung, *Instantons and branes on manifolds with vector cross product*, preprint.
- [18] N.C. Leung, *Riemannian Geometry over different normed division algebras*, J. Differential Geom. 61 (2002), no. 2, 289–333.

- [19] N.C. Leung, *Topological Quantum Field Theory for Calabi-Yau threefolds and G_2 -manifolds*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 6 (2002), no. 3, 575–591.
- [20] R.C. McLean, *Deformation of Calibrated Submanifolds*, Commun. Analy. Geom. **6** (1998) 705–747.
- [21] M. Movshev, *The structure of a symplectic manifold on the space of loops of 7-manifold*, [math.SG/9911100].
- [22] A. Strominger, S.-T. Yau, and E. Zaslow, *Mirror Symmetry is T-Duality*, Nuclear Physics **B479** (1996) 243–259.
- [23] C. Taubes, *Gr=>SW From pseudo-holomorphic curves to Seiberg-Witten solutions*, J. Differential Geom. 51 (1999), no. 2, 203–334.
- [24] C. Taubes, *The geometry of the Seiberg-Witten invariants*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Berlin, 1998). Doc. Math. 1998, Extra Vol. II, 493–504.
- [25] C. Taubes, *Seiberg-Witten invariants and pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties for self-dual, harmonic 2-forms*. Geom. Topol. 3 (1999), 167–210.
- [26] E. Witten, *Chern-Simons gauge theory as a string theory*, The Floer memorial volume, 637–678, Progr. Math., 133, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

Addresses:

N.C. Leung

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55454

Email: LEUNG@MATH.UMN.EDU

X.W. Wang

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095

Email: XIAOWEI@MATH.UCLA.EDU